



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/805,376	03/14/2001	Jake Hill	36-1578	1537	
23117 75	90 02/23/2005		EXAMINER		
NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC			CHUONG, TRUC T		
1100 N GLEBE ROAD 8TH FLOOR		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ARLINGTON, VA 22201-4714			2179		
			DATE MAILED: 02/23/200	DATE MAILED: 02/23/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/805,376	HILL ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Truc T Chuong	2179			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	. nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 De	ecember 2004.				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	-	• •			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		-			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	-	ed in this National Stage			
application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	* ***	ad			
oos the attached detailed Office action for a list (or the definited copies not receive	.u.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ate atent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			

Application/Control Number: 09/805,376 Page 2

Art Unit: 2179

DETAILED ACTION

1. This communication is responsive to an Amendment, filed 12/16/04.

2. Claims 1-24 are pending in this application. Claims 1, 8-9, 12-13, 19-20, 23 and 24 are independent claims. In the Amendment, claims 13-18 are amended, and claim 24 is a new claim. This action is made non-final.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

4. Claims 1-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Pensak et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,289,450 B1).

As to claim 1, Pensak teaches a computer/network interface device comprising:

a first interface for receiving data from a first zone in a first zone data format (Authoring user computer, e.g., col. 5 lines 50-65, col. 6 lines 15-30, and fig. 2);

means for processing said received data through performance of a cryptographic operation on at least a portion thereof (encrypting documents, e.g., col. 2 lines 10-20, and col. 6 lines 15-30);

a second interface for sending said processed data to a second zone in a second zone data format (sending documents and hash to the server, e.g., col. 7 lines 46-51, and col. 6 lines 35-50); and

means arranged to pass said processed data exclusively from said processing means to said second interface (the Administrator Utility Server will send the encrypted documents to the viewer, e.g., col. 7 line 57-col. 8 line 45, and fig. 2).

As to claim 2, Pensak teaches a computer/network interface device as claimed in claim 1 further comprising:

means arranged to convert said received data in said first zone data format into at least one data format other than said first zone data format prior to said data processing (The Application Interface 230 must also be loaded before the document or information can be encrypted, e.g., col. 6 line 63-15).

As to claim 3, Pensak teaches a computer/network interface device as claimed in claim 1 further comprising:

means arranged to transform the data format of said received data from said first zone at least twice prior to said data processing (the document can be encrypted in many times based on only selected viewing users under selected circumstances, e.g., col. 2 lines 10-43).

As to claim 4, Pensak teaches a computer/network interface device as claimed in claim 1 in which said first zone data format is packetized data, further comprising:

means for reading at least one item of identification data from each packet (segment IDs, e.g., col. 7 lines 16-45);

Art Unit: 2179

wherein said processing means is arranged to process each respective packet in dependence on each corresponding item of identification data (e.g., col. 2 lines 10-43, and col. 7 lines 16-45).

As to claim 5, Pensak teaches a computer/network interface device as claimed in claim 4 further comprising:

a store for storing one or more rules, each rule being linked with at least one of item of identification data (IDs for encryption, e.g., col. 7 lines 16-45); wherein

said processing means is arranged to process each packet in dependence upon the rule linked with the corresponding item(s) of identification data (verify the user permission/profile/id for viewing/decrypting, e.g., col. 7 line 59-col. 8 line 60).

As to claim 6, Pensak teaches a computer/network interface device as claimed in claim 1 wherein one of the first and second interfaces is suitable for connection to a host such that the data format utilized by such a connected interface is one utilized by the host (fig. 2).

As to claim 7, Pensak teaches a computer/network interface device as claimed in claim 5 wherein one of the first and second interfaces is suitable for connection to a host such that the data format utilized by such a connected interface is one utilized by the host in which, in response to receiving at least one control packet including at least an item of control identification data and control instructions through the interface not connected to the host and reading said item of control identification data from a control packet, said processing means is arranged to change said rules in said store in dependence upon said corresponding control instructions (e.g., col. 1 lines 29-45, col. 7 line 59-col. 8 line 60, and fig. 2).

As to claim 8, Pensak teaches a computer/network interface device comprising:

A first interface for receiving data from a first authorized party in a first data format; means for processing said received said received data through performance of a computational operation on at least a portion thereof (e.g., col. 5 lines 50-65, col. 6 lines 15-30, and fig. 2);

a second interface for sending said processed data to a second authorized party in a second data format (sending documents and hash to the server, e.g., col. 7 lines 46-51, and col. 6 lines 35-50);

means arranged to pass said processed data exclusively from said processing means to said second interface (the Administrator Utility Server will send the encrypted documents to the viewer, e.g., col. 7 line 57-col. 8 line 45, and fig. 2);

wherein said operation performed by said processing means is such that if said sent processed data is intercepted by an unauthorized party, the recovery of said received data from said processed data is computational unfeasible (only the registered/identified viewer can view/decrypt the encrypted documents, e.g., col. 7 line 57-col. 8 line 60).

As to claims 9-11, they are method claims of system claims 1-3. Note the rejection of claims 1-3 above respectively.

As to claim 12, this is a method of system claim 8. Note the rejection of claim 8 above.

As to claims 13 and 24, Pensak teaches a host/network interface apparatus comprising:

a first port for communication with said host using an internal data format used internally
by said host (e.g., col. 5 lines 50-65, col. 6 lines 15-30, and fig. 2);

a second port for communication with said network using a network data format

(encrypted communication link is established between the authoring user's computer 222 and the

server 206, the authoring user's computer 222 provides login and authentication information to the server 206, 1050. The server 206 authenticates the authoring user's 208 identity and verifies that the authoring user 208 has authority to use the system by checking a database of registered users 236 maintained on the server, e.g., col. 6 lines 15-30);

means for processing data received from at least one of said ports through performance of a cryptographic operation on at least a portion of said received data (encrypting documents, e.g., col. 2 lines 10-20, and col. 6 lines 15-30); and

means arranged to pass said processed data exclusively from said means for processing to the other of said ports (the Administrator Utility Server will send the encrypted documents to the viewer, e.g., col. 7 line 57-col. 8 line 45, and fig.2).

As to claim 14-18, they are similar in scopes to claims 2-5, and 7 above; therefore, rejected under similar rationale.

As to claim 19, it can be rejected under similar rationale as claim 8 above.

As to claims 20-23, they are method claims of system claims 12, 14, 15, and 19. Note the rejections of claims 12, 14, 15, and 19 above respectively.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 09/805,376

Art Unit: 2179

Page 7

Brandenburg (U.S. Patent No. 5,894,516) teaches encrypting/decrypting documents, user profiles, id, and the host computer to control the operations (cols. 1-5, and fig. 1).

Pebley et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,154,840) teach first/second user to send encrypting data with the key (id) to decrypt the data (cols. 2-6 and figs. 1-2).

Pavlik (U.S. Patent No. 6,807633 B1) teaches encryption/decryption documents (cols. 3-9 and figs. 1-3).

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Truc T Chuong whose telephone number is 571-272-4134. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th and alternate Fridays 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather R. Herndon can be reached on 571-272-4136. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Truc T. Chuong

BA HUYNK

02/21/05

U